

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2006

LB 1060

and you know, as I said earlier, we've just generally in the Appropriations Committee taken the position that we cannot pick up all of the monies that the federal government has dropped, and Senator Stuthman noted that when we were talking about the school matter. It's all starting to fall together and, as you can see, in the long run this is going to be a big, big item, because many programs are being evaluated because suddenly the federal government, despite a \$9 trillion budget, thinks that they're overspending. And so they have come up with the idea that they have to start reducing programs, and this was one of them that they reduced. And so, in looking through the materials, one of the reasons why they decided to reduce this program was that they evaluated the program, and the Heritage Foundation evaluated it, and they determined that it did not have a very high rating as far as efficiency was concerned. They gave it a 13 percent efficiency rating as to what it was attempting to be accomplishing and rated it, if you might, an F minus. So that's the reason why the federal government said, wait a minute, we've got to cut down on our spending, and so we've received messages from congressional representatives from Nebraska saying: You've got to fund this; it's a state obligation now; we, the federal government, didn't do it, but now it's your obligation. Well, I can't buy that particular part of the program because we simply can't do that, but I wanted to bring to you the methodology by which we can fund this, if it be your desire to do so, and that methodology I started to...I started to mention. We have the building fund lapse and that came as a result of some property that we were buying and this was some money left over from that property purchase, and we could use that money. We could also lapse an insurance fund that would have otherwise gone to our General Funds, and this is \$312,000, so that made a total from those two funds of \$462,000, and that leaves...that leaves the balance of the money to be paid with General Funds. So it's really your decision. I'm doing this because a method has been determined whereby we could minimize the amount of General Funds that would be used to do this program, but it's also still going to cost the state a considerable amount of money. I'm not trying to minimize the effectiveness of the program itself, but I'm simply saying that the federal government determined that in their opinion this was structurally set up in an unsound manner. It