

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2006 LB 1060

be funded, what the prioritization meant. And it seemed to me and to other people that I've talked to that this matter needs to go back to the drawing board. I think that what we need to do is to strike the Foley amendment, which was AM2441, and take it back, take back the money that was involved with that. And I have talked to Senator Jensen, Chair of the Health and Human Services Committee, and Senator Jensen has agreed to have an interim study to determine: (a) the needs of women's health throughout the state as far as numbers of facilities, the location of those facilities, what money is really needed to fund these facilities, what services can and should be rendered by these facilities. And it seems to me this is a much more intelligent way to approach this. This is not a matter of somebody's beliefs or somebody's concerns about matters not related actually to the budget, and I'm trying to avoid that. I'm trying to avoid a further meltdown of discussion. And I think that if we can do this amendment, if we can strike the amendment that was previously adopted, AM2441, it will put us in a position that we can actually review this matter dispassionately and with some basic facts, and for that reason I think that this can save us a great deal of time. I would have to confess my primary motivation in this is that this is a budget bill and I'm trying to get the budget bill advanced so that it can be presented to the Governor for consideration. And this was a peripheral matter involved in the budget bill and I think that if we can adopt my amendment we can then go back and start over again and do it right. With that, I would ask for your advancement of this amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Pederson. You heard the opening on AM2855. Open for discussion. Senator Foley.

SENATOR FOLEY: Thank you, Mr. President and members. We've...it's my intention to speak just one time on Senator Pederson's amendment. I'm happy to take it to a quick vote, and we'll see where the votes are. Naturally, I'm going to reserve my rights to speak additional times, but it's not my intention to do so. Once ought to be plenty at this point. We've already invested, what, six, eight hours, something like that on this issue. Can't imagine anybody is confused at this point as to what we're talking about. As a result of the hours that we put