## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2006 LB 1086A, 1086

the state of Minnesota appropriated... I believe it was \$600,000 in 1999 for kind of exactly what I'm trying to get relative to our state, and they've had much success relative to interdicting these women and their ongoing exposure to the criminal justice system. So this is not an expenditure only. It truly is an attempt to provide some rehabilitative measures for these women and for their children, so that we can interdict this revolving door criminal justice activity and save ourselves an enormous amount of expense on that end of the spectrum. Thank you, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Bourne, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President. I'd yield my time to Senator Erdman.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President. Let me clarify what the amendment does and what it doesn't do. The amendment doesn't take away any money that currently is appropriated under the A bill. The A bill works like this: At the end of this year, this A bill goes away, just like our budget at the end of our fiscal year. The Appropriations Committee has to come back again next year to set the budget for July of '07 through June of '08. And so the intent language is what we're striking. We're striking the intent language. The reality is we're creating the program. Senator Synowiecki gets his \$750,000 that would be appropriated under LB 1086. It gives us the opportunity to come back and reevaluate the program. That's how it works, and the reason why there's a good reason to do that is it doesn't put us in our baseline. It doesn't tie us to a And if Senator Synowiecki can come back and present to us the compelling reason why there needs to be different treatment programs or more funding for specific programs, we're going to have that opportunity while the \$750,000 is being implemented over these next...over this next year, as I understand this. So that's what it is. It doesn't strike the funding; it strikes the intent language for the out years, which takes it out of our base. And I understand that Senator