

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 990

recognized to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, the first thing I need to set Senator Stuthman straight on, you need, in your county, some of that family planning that we've been talking about so you won't be so prolific and put yourself outside the reach of these programs. Now that that's been taken care of, this amendment that I'm offering is designed to not allow a subterfuge. If somebody is trying to do the various things that are mentioned in this definition that my amendment would be attached to, fine, except in the situation where they are required to come into compliance with a law, rule, or regulation. Already we see, from Senator Stuthman's observation, that there are some counties that are left out of this altogether at the outstart. Senator Wehrbein made it clear that the bill, as drafted, is focused to reach a certain set of circumstances in counties of a certain population. However, I find it difficult to see a correlation between the number of people in a county and whether or not this bill ought to have application, because there is nothing that talks about what percentage of that population would be involved in these types of operations. Suppose you have two people in a county that's larger populationwise than the 15,000, but in a county with fewer than 15,000 people maybe you've got ten individuals involved in these operations. So in the county where you have ten, there can be applications made to benefit from this program; in the county where you have two, there cannot be any applications only because of the total population, not the number of people who are engaged in these particular operations. But again, that is a matter for the rural contingent to work out between and among themselves. I intend to keep my nose out of their business, but on this amendment that I'm offering I believe it is a matter of concern other than to just those people in those counties which would be allowed to benefit under this bill. So my amendment, despite the fact that Senator Wehrbein disagrees with it, is not unreasonable. However, if by merely letting you know what his opinion is, he can sway you when you haven't followed the discussion, you haven't read the bill, you don't know what's involved, then go ahead; go ahead and follow; follow like the lemmings. And you know what happened to the lemmings: they wound up in a pie. You've heard