

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 990

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...you can still claim the refund, right?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Let me ask you one more question, and I'm just trying to put this into the context of LB 608 a little bit. But I think the word that's troublesome to some people is just the word "modernization" in the sense that it can come close to meaning the replacement of operating assets of one type or another, even though they may not increase production, in a sense. The word "expansion" makes me entirely comfortable with the whole bill. What is intended with modernization? Let's say my facility simply wears down and I need to replace it. Is that modernization or does it have to be some new and improved variety of one thing or another, one part of a facility or another?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, it probably could mean different things to different people, Senator Beutler. I don't know if you know, hog buildings are...hog buildings have a short life, in general, and so they need to be renovated, modernized over time. So off the top of my head, it would...it could be adding new feeders, new facilities, improving the investment. Because part of this, remember, is the investment that we're trying to get at. To increase production you have...your facilities are worn out; they may be too small. It could be, in the case of a feedlot where you're using...in the pen bunks with no concrete, and you're going to go to fenceline bunks with a more...that would be modernization. It can have different connotations, but by and large it's going to be an improvement in the production facilities, which is the intent of it, and/or expansion...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...or more labor efficient.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But is...okay, but it's not your intent that it would be used simply to replace existing assets when they wear down?