

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 808

we're...it's pretty sticky going there. I would like to ask Senator Raikes a question, however, on the underlying amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Maybe I can't.

SENATOR CUDABACK: I think he's on his way, Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you. Senator Raikes, I'm on the fence on this because I've long thought that the recovery is kind of one of the areas that we can justify having the greenbelt land, is that we do recover.

SENATOR RAIKES: Recapture.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Recapture, right. And I wonder, do you have any idea how much it is statewide? I notice our fiscal note doesn't. And I think it is probably more than an insignificant factor in some localities, particularly in my county and Douglas, Sarpy, Washington, maybe Saunders too. It's kind of a sense of fairness with me. I notice who testified and it was primarily Lancaster County and others, and I want to support it, too, although it's my understanding on most of these that developers actually pay this cost. It's not usually the seller with that recovery, cost of recovery. That's part of the negotiated package. But it is the amount of dollars is...I guess I'll let you answer that question. I didn't mean to get your attention and then ignore you.

SENATOR RAIKES: Senator, I've got some information here that I'm not sure of the source, but it looks as though there may be 30 counties in the state that have parcels that are greenbelted or special value. The total number of...well, I haven't studied this. It looks like maybe the special valuation is a little less than \$3 billion and the recapture value is about \$5.6 billion, if that gives you any idea.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Are you saying million or billions? I don't