

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

towns and the medium-sized towns. The tax provision you have in here reducing the max from...by 5 percent simply means somebody else in that small district has to pay that amount; whereas, if you drop the levy from \$1.05 to \$1 and replace it with state aid, then everybody in the state who pays sales and income taxes is helping with that tax relief effort. I'm going to try to address the matter somehow on Select File, and I hope at that point in time there's some interest in it, because it's...you can spin this in different ways, but the bottom line is you're trading almost \$60 million of property tax relief for \$20 million of property tax relief. And property tax is the one I feel the strongest about. I would like to keep that effort alive and going, and not minimize or diminish it in favor of some of the income tax and sales tax...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...changes that we're making in this bill. Forty million dollars of those changes in sales and income taxes are essentially being funded by backing off the property tax relief that we offered, that we have in place in the current law right now. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. No further lights. Senator Landis, you're recognized to close on the second portion of divided committee amendments.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. Three ideas in this: There is the move from the \$1 to \$1.05, which is two years out. Senator Beutler has indicated that apparently we'll come back to this issue on Select File. I would point out, by the way, however, that that is not property tax relief for everyone. There are a number of districts that, well, that will be a total irrelevance for, which by the way was a point that Senator Louden made. The \$1 to \$1.05 will affect 60 to 65 percent of the school districts, but not more than that, because they will be uninfluenced and will not receive benefits for that. It's one of the reasons why that was not the chief mechanism for property tax relief in the...in this particular package. More importantly, it was just that we were not convinced that the money would be there and that we should do the property tax