

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

just discussing it--in public housing who are elderly, who have mental illness, who have no vehicles, no driver's licenses, and have no way to get down to this place to testify about problems that they have. Whenever the hearings are conducted in Lincoln, there are people who cannot come. Several times this session, we were told, when we were considering appointments, that the person appointed was too far away from the Capitol building to have made it, and nobody condemned those people and said the only ones speaking for them are senators and politicians. Those who have never been poor, who don't associate with people who are poor, who do not know anybody who is poor, will tend to think that their own circumstances are what befall others. And since, in their way of living, a car is a necessity and is always there, they presume everybody has got a car. If they miss breakfast and have to work through lunch, and 2:00 comes and they're hungry, they think that's what those of us who talk about the poor who have nothing to eat, they think that's what we mean when we say they're hungry, that it's just a matter of having missed a meal, but they have something to eat and can get it. That is not what we're talking about at all. And there is poverty throughout this state. As a matter of fact, there are some areas of the state that have greater impoverishment than some of the poorer areas in my district and in south Omaha. So it's a mistake to see other people's situation as being ours. And maybe some of these people are fortunate to at least have somebody in a suit coming to speak for them, rather than nobody at all. And maybe it's good that a suit, on occasion, will say something in behalf of those who really need an advocate, even though the advocate wears a suit. I don't wear suits. I don't own a suit. I would not wear a suit if somebody gave me one. And when I was going to preside over the Legislature a couple of years ago, at the opening session, some people offered to rent a tuxedo for me if all I'd do was wear it, and I said, well, I'm sorry, I cannot oblige you. So I will not say in and of itself a suit is a bad thing. But what we're talking about here, regardless of who did the speaking or the advocating, are people who are not going to benefit from a homestead exemption, because they don't own a home. A lot of these things that are mentioned require a certain amount of knowledge to place the action necessary to reap the benefit, and these people don't know about it. One reason in this state they liked to have the tax on