

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006

LB 968

authority on a pass-through to poor people. What is in this bill for poor people per se? It depends. I think Senator Chambers and I, before the session ever began, identified one area that he agreed that there should be tax change. He agreed that the construction services should come off. And I assume that he did that not because he wanted to enrich construction companies, but because there's a pass-through to homeowners. So if that's the case, there's 32 million bucks in this bill for people that are in some form of need and for whom there would be some kind of compassion, let us assume. I can't imagine that Senator Chambers would be motivated by anything other than compassion. And since he supports that idea, I'm going to guess that the end would have been compassionate. Okay? So there's 32 million bucks of compassion money here. Secondly, there's \$17 million of an earned income tax credit which goes specifically to poor, working families, which, by the way, dominated by young women with children trying to work themselves out of poverty, get a job and keep working. So there's \$17 million there. So now we're up to \$49 million in the bill. Then there's \$7 million for Senator Combs' homestead bill. That's \$54 million that has a pass-through to a very legitimate source. So in the event you were concerned about, there was no compassion, this was everything for the rich people, or for some kind of corporate entities, and whatever, the majority of the bill has a pass-through on the other end who is either a disabled individual, a retired individual living on a income...essentially a frozen salary, a poor working woman, or somebody who's trying to refurbish their house. That's the majority of this bill. If we want to start tarring this bill as being some kind of giveaway to the rich, it's not. Now, there's some money for the rich in it, but deservedly so. That's what we do with packages. We link things across differences. Are there things for rural interests? Yes, there are. Are there things for rural interests? Yes, there are. There are things for the poor, there are things for the rich. It is a balancing. Now, I'm going to give...I want to keep talking to Senator Chambers, because if I can unlock this and make this work, I want to. Let me tell you three different ways I would proceed. First, while I have historically opposed this amendment by Senator Brown because I think housing authorities as a quasi-public entity compete with private enterprise, first