

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968, 990

said?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes. Yes, you are.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. There's a bond between us. Thank you. So you all better be careful what you think about on this floor when I'm up here talking, because I may single out one of the others. But I won't do it at this point. Members of the Legislature, we're looking at politicking with this entire bill and everybody knows it. This ethanol amendment which Senator Landis persuaded you to adopt was to purchase the rural vote. So having gotten this payoff, I'm sure Senator Wehrbein and others will agree with me that they should not be double-dipping around here today, so there's no need for LB 990 at this point. They're getting \$10 million with this amendment. What do they get out of LB 990? Virtually nothing. Virtually nothing; another rural financial coup, a bill that has fine words and high-sounding ideas which will produce virtually nothing in the way of financial benefit to the rural area. And do you know why? Because what Senator Landis giveth with the right hand, Senator Landis taketh with the left hand. And for you "Bibble" scholars, the Lord giveth, the Lord taketh; blessed be the name of Senator Landis. What he did with LB 990 is put a cap on the pinhead of the rural interests and said that whatever you do under LB 990, the cap is a certain amount of money, but it sounds big because it's more than...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...\$1 million. But that amount of money is already virtually totally obligated, so LB 990 gives the rurals nothing, but that's what they're used to. But when I raise that issue, Senator Landis will be able to say, tut, tut, tut, I gave you \$10 million; be satisfied, don't be greedy. So when we get to LB 990 we'll see if I'm just blowing smoke and we'll see how many of the "ruralies" caught on to what I'm telling them now. I've tried to work with them in the past, but they're too fearful. So even with this \$10 million contained in this amendment, they're really not getting anything that helps the rural community. There does not have to be the production of another job...