

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

ethanol. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, there are no lights on and you have spoken three times, so I'll recognize you to close on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, Senator, you will agree that my light is on.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Your light is on, correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And you explained why I will be recognized to close, nevertheless. And I may have to offer another motion because I haven't finished on this amendment yet, or maybe I'll just take time on other propositions that come up. In Sioux City, Iowa, one of these big outfits was going to build this ethanol plant and it would produce millions and millions of gallons of ethanol. They speculated that Union Pacific would upgrade this spur line because that would be needed to transport the amount of corn necessary to produce the amount of ethanol that was envisioned. Union Pacific said, why, man, you're treating me like those senators in Nebraska treat Chambers; you didn't talk to me about this; you just assumed that I was going to do it; well, I'm not going to spend over \$1 million to upgrade this spur for you. So you know what this company said, and I'm sure it didn't make Union Pacific any difference: Then we're not going to build a plant there. I'd like to ask Senator... just a minute, I'm getting a message. I'd like to ask Senator Elaine Stuhr a question. (Laughter)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you yield to a question from Senator Chambers?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, you're familiar with what I'm talking about, aren't you?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, I read the article. I did.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And am I accurately relating what the article