

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006      LB 968

Byars could remind us what it was. It came out in the public hearing. And when we got done, it was, all right, wait a second, we did one, we thought that was it; no, now here's another one. And even in this public hearing, we're hearing of a third, which isn't in this bill, but awaits us next year. And it was...it seemed to be the beginning of a slope that we could not identify. We couldn't tell how many there were, what the next...we could identify where the next best one was going to be. But what was the one after that? And we just didn't feel as if we knew where we stood in this slope. And we were going to wait and find out so that all those folks who were in that slope could get together and make their demands at one time as to what they wanted us to do. It's not as if there isn't some compassion. We voted the bill out and passed it two years ago. But when you're in the middle of a slippery slope and you know that there's more steps coming, you can even identify them, you'd like to know what's ahead of you. And for that reason, the committee did not advance the bill. This is an amendment that couldn't get out of committee, unlike Senator Brown's amendment, which did get out of committee 5 to 3. Now, this bill didn't get killed, and we raised it to a 30-vote bill. But I'm just saying, the reluctance of the committee was in our inability to predict where this line of reasoning would take us. I got to say, I think Senator Byars won the argument with respect to this piece that we did two years ago. And at the point at which we thought we were going to close the door this year, my guess is that there would have been some sympathy. But the point at which we realized that in fact there's more coming, we wanted to know what that was before we acted. If I had to characterize the action of the committee, that's why we did what we did. And the amendment, I agree with Senator Byars, will not have fiscal impact. It is a separate bill. That bill couldn't get out of committee. And the reason it couldn't get out of committee is, we could not look into that bill and see where the line of reasoning would take us with respect to other similar arguments. By the way, it's the same point that Senator Brown was making a little while ago. You know, you've done this for other people that are kind of like us; you ought to do it for us. Well, starting another one of those lines of argument, we wanted to know where we were trying to get to, and that's why it couldn't come out of committee.