

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

be poor. But Jesus said, the poor you have with you always, but he didn't say, be cruel, he didn't say, be mean, he didn't say, lack compassion. These are the least of his brothers and his sisters, whom he said you should show concern for, but you don't. So why should I care about the construction industry? Why should I care about the ethanol purveyors? Why should I care about any of those in this bill who are to be given benefits to the detriment of those people who mean so much to me? Jesus said, it's easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...than for a rich man to enter heaven. Well, it's easier for a rich man to enter heaven, based on Jesus' standard, than it is for me to strike a compassionate chord in this Legislature. But that doesn't mean I'm not going to try, and it doesn't mean I will not stand against this bill. And my trying to do what I think ought to be done, and my standing against the bill, does not mean that the bill will not move. It just means that it's going to have rough sledding, and I plan to give it that to the extent that I can. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. The Chair recognizes Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Madam President, members. I understand the concern of those people who are supporting the underlying bill in having arrived at a package that is tenuously held together, and not wanting to have anything that would upset the applecart. I think that even though I support the idea of credits for ethanol, I think that Senator Chambers has raised a very good point in terms of the consistency of the arguments that...where I had argued that a big part of the rationale for this bill was the addition on the housing authorities of sales tax on construction labor, which in most instances will not be impacted by the underlying changes that we've made. I think that that makes this much more suitable to this...this amendment much more suitable to this particular bill than it does to any other bill. And so I think that that argument is a little