

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Connealy, during the time you've been in the Legislature, would you say, as a general rule, that I have been favorably inclined toward the subsidization of ethanol, or strongly opposed to it?

SENATOR CONNEALY: You've been misled, and opposed most of the time, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, when attempts have been made to require ethanol to be put in all gasoline sold in this state, have I been for, or very strongly opposed to that?

SENATOR CONNEALY: You've been opposed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When Senator Cunningham wanted to do away with the requirement that pumps labeled with ethanol no longer have those labels,...oh, and you say you were a part of that...

SENATOR CONNEALY: Actually, it was my...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...nefarious action, too?

SENATOR CONNEALY: It was my bill, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What was my position on that?

SENATOR CONNEALY: You were opposed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, we have before us, which has been attached to the first division of this bill, a total amount of \$10 million going to the subsidization of ethanol production.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that true?

SENATOR CONNEALY: That's correct. I had...actually, I had a bill to put \$15 million in, but I didn't get it out of committee.