

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes. I'm one person, and I've got a chance to do what I can do. I think that Senator Synowiecki and I might have a conversation; it's entirely possible that that motion might go away. But it certainly exists...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...as a possibility. There is a home for a priority bill in exactly the sales tax section for which that motion is in fact germane and appropriate. That vehicle exists. At the moment, I'm not crazy about that vehicle, but I'm not crazy about this vehicle either. I'm going to vote against this amendment. I'm going to vote against this amendment when it goes to that bill. It doesn't make a difference. But in the end, 25 votes prevails, and 25 votes can move that bill over my objection or anybody else's objection, because it's majority wins. And Senator Synowiecki might well be able to achieve that end. So between now and then, who knows what can happen? My guess is that Senator Synowiecki and I could profit by a conversation.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis and Senator Smith, along with Senator Bourne. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion. Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I do stand in support of the amendment to exempt the housing authorities from the sales and the use tax. I do believe that housing authorities provide a great service to people in need and are a great asset to our communities. Housing authorities, as we know, operate on a very narrow margin, and I believe that those monies that are now being spent on sales and use tax would be redistributed in the community by increase...we might say updating the facilities--paint, carpet, landscaping. There are a variety of ways that that money would be recirculated back, I believe, into the communities. Also, as Senator Brown stated, the construction tax will still remain on these facilities, particularly, I know, in my case, since we have an apartment complex, that there will still be construction tax used on that facility. I do believe that housing authorities do provide services for low-income people, for those