

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

very poor or elderly, is just as important as the Racing Commission, the State Fair, NIFA. And so I would urge the adoption of this amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brown. You've heard the opening on the Brown amendment, AM2668 to AM2801. Open for discussion. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, we're going to have a run of about two or three ideas that are going to seek to modify the bill by adding provisions that come from other measures that didn't get into the tax package. And gosh, I understand that. If I was sitting in those situations, I'd be tempted to do it myself. I'm playing an institutional role, I think, when I ask you to resist the alterations, unless they strike you as being overwhelmingly beneficial and that this is the only helicopter out of Saigon. Let me tell you that in fact it's not. There is another home for this idea. If you happen to like Senator Brown's argument, and if she's persuaded you, Senator Synowiecki has a bill that's a genuine sales tax exemption. It's spot-on. It is exactly the subject matter that you'd want to amend. It has to do with an exemption for molds and dies that was reported out by our committee 8 to 0. That's home. I think it's got a priority designation. There's another bill that you could use. In fact, all these changes, if you want to lump them up, you've got that bill to use. I'd suggest that you not muddy the waters, when I've already got the problems with people saying, it's too large to begin with, it's oversized, it ought to go back to committee. Well, this is about 1,300,000 bucks a year, at least that's what the fiscal note says. And it did not come out of the Revenue...it did come out of the Revenue Committee, by the way. It is a separate bill that's out on the floor. But it came out 6 to 2. There were 2 no votes to this bill, and I happened to be one of them. One of the reasons I'm a no vote is, I'm not so sure that this particular governmental function doesn't operate in a marketplace in which there are private players, private business that's also providing housing, and that we're going to have low-income housing that we run and low-income housing that occurs in the marketplace, and one of them is going to have a tax exemption, and one of them isn't. So I'm not convinced that