TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

your resources? And if you look at this chart over on the right-hand side, major taxes, and you follow it back to 1967, it was in the sixties that we characteristically had our highest government spending. And then in the mid-eighties, the hard years of the mid-eighties, we had our lowest government spending. And you'll see that since then it's been more in-between and at a fairly steady percentage. So it's not like we've gone nuts in any year and spent a bunch of money because we had a bunch of money. We're not doing it this year. The Appropriations Committee, even leaving \$10 million for A bills, leaves a high reserve fund and some excess cash to deal with the future.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Beutler. Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Kruse, motion to bracket.

Mr. President and members, thank you. SENATOR KRUSE: continue to favor the bracket in order to get us to think about I have no problem at all with Senator Pederson saying we can think about it as we continue to work at the bill. I would hope, however it goes, that we continue to work on this, because my basic question remains, how do you intend to pay for this? think it's reasonable to suggest, as Senator Pederson has stated, that we can expect some increased income the next two years from the projections on this sheet. Let's be quite favorable and call that \$150 million over the next two years, increased revenues. Then we add that to the \$30 million down in line 41, and we've got \$180 million. In response to Senator that would be the figure that I think the Revenue Committee should aim at, because that would take us down to I'm...would add a little bit of a humorous comment to Senator Redfield's comment about going to your employer. don't follow her little scenario there. As I understand what we're doing here, we're sending some...ourselves to our employer and saying, I have too much money in my savings account; would you please reduce my income so that I get down to zero in my savings. Unless we state how we intend to pay for this additional \$50 million or \$60 million, I am puzzled as to what the intention is. Not too puzzled, because it's evident that we just would take it out of reserves. I think \$500 million is a proper reserve. The question was raised by one speaker as to