

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2006 LB 968

we start to leave the most scientific models we have. And are they totally scientific? Obviously not, but they're well constructed; they are continually refined. They do better, on average, than any one person's prediction out here in our knowledgeable economy. And so this politician or that politician can go say, well, I think the revenues are going to be higher. Sure, easy to say, but you and I and all of us in here are the ones who have to deal with the downturn if the revenues don't make up that \$294 million and fund the tax increase or the tax decrease that's anticipated by the Revenue Committee package. I think you can see the political danger here. We all have our favorite part of the package and I'm no exception to that. And the danger is that the package has been put together so it has appeal to everybody. But in appealing to everybody, perhaps we make the biggest mistake at all, because it propels us to a level of tax cut that we clearly cannot sustain. And we clearly,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...in my opinion, will get ourselves once again into the dilemma of 2003-2004 and be faced with the problem of raising taxes in hard times. So I'm asking you to think about the impossible. Set aside your favorite baby in this package and if you truly believe that this is an unsustainable package, send it back to the Revenue Committee, ask them to put something else together that is more properly scaled to the reality of the situation, and let's go from there. But I'm going to be very anxious to hear the arguments and the justification for a \$194 million...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...deficit in the out year. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Thompson and Senator Beutler. Senator Landis, motion to bracket.

SENATOR LANDIS: I think it's a fair question that Senator Beutler puts to us. I'll be voting red on the motion to bracket. It is the best work of the Revenue Committee at this