

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2006

LB 562

followed by Senator Howard and others.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Both Senator Chambers and Senator Foley have misconstrued what the underlying amendment and the underlying problem are, because the underlying language of this appropriation says clearly that none of the General Funds provided under this program shall be used to perform or facilitate the performance of abortions, or to counsel or refer for abortions. And when Senator Chambers talks about it as an abortion issue, it detracts from it being about women's healthcare and about the real issue, which I think is not as easy to defend for Senator Foley, which is about family planning. It is not abortion; it's about whether women have the opportunity for information so that they don't get STDs, so that they don't get pregnant, so that they don't have to have abortions. People can be very pro-life and still believe in family planning, and the money that we were taking away from services was being taken away from services for family planning. It was being taken away from the opportunity for women to not only find out whether they have cancer, find out whether they have STDs, get treatment for it, which is the part that we took away, the treatment, because now we don't have to provide a range of services under the Foley amendment, and we've taken that away and that is part of what causes women to be in a position where they seek abortions. If we were...if either one of these two individuals was talking about, really talking about, women instead of fighting with one another, they wouldn't be talking about abortion. They would be talking about whether women were going to have the services available. I thought one of the most compelling things was the information that Senator Stuthman provided me about the family planning clinic in Columbus that gets money through this program. They provide \$18,000 worth of services, almost \$19,000 worth of services, and they get reimbursement for about \$16,000 worth of services. So if the money is spread around more, they're going to either eat more or they're going to have to reduce the services. That should be what we're talking about, instead of having this little battle about who defends abortion or antiabortion more, because it wasn't...that was why the language was developed in 1998, so that it wouldn't go for anything that approached that. But it...but those clinics, one of the things they provide is