

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 15, 2006 LB 1060

SENATOR FOLEY: In which case, the language we adopted yesterday would not be in statute.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Correct.

SENATOR FOLEY: All right. Thank you, Senator Janssen. I agree with you. I agree with your interpretation. Just want to make that I'm understanding your intent and so forth. Thank you. Again, I think that we have to be realistic about what the Governor's tolerance level is going to be in terms of spending this year. We know, the Governor has been very clear about this, that he is going to do what he can to hold the line on spending. And to adopt an amendment with a 50 percent increase in funding for a program where the Appropriations Committee did not recommend any increase, by any stretch, that's a large increase. There's no program in government anywhere that's going to get a 50 percent increase in funding, which is one of the reasons why I've asked you to vote red on this amendment. I think we need to be more prudent about what's going to be achievable here. I've offered an amendment that will come up later which offers a 20 percent increase in funding, and that will result in many more women being served at more providers. I think the Governor is going to be far less inclined to veto a 20 percent increase than he would be a 50 percent increase. And I want to say, I have not spoken to the Governor about what his tolerance levels are on vetoes. I don't think he's telling anybody how he's going to play that. He wants to see the whole package. But just be realistic about this. A program that gets a 50 percent increase is going to stick out like a red thumb and he's going to be far more inclined to veto that money. I'd ask you to vote red on Senator Janssen's amendment, and to vote green on the amendment that I've offered, which I think is a far more practical approach, far more realistic about what can be accomplished. And in one year, when we know how many more providers are actually showing up and we have some experience with this, then the Legislature will have every opportunity in the next budget cycle to deal with this. Again, this is a one-year bill. That's all it is. All this goes away in a year and you start over from scratch in a year. I think that that language that attempts to tie the Governor's hands and minimize