

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 15, 2006 LB 690

bill that I introduced, and I'll try to fairly quickly relate the essence of it, or at least this section, and that is that we would require every student beginning high school, in the eighth grade or at the very beginning of ninth grade, to pick out a postsecondary target, that there is some target institution or program that they want to be prepared for and enter into, upon completion of their high school career. And then there would be the requirement that a plan, an academic plan, be put in place so that by the time they finish high school, they have the requirements met for that postsecondary objective. Now the objective may change during the course, and if that's the case, then the academic program or plan could change, or it may stay the same. It may be a very aspirational objective, to attend a very selective four-year college, or it may be an objective that relates to a training program for a particular trade, once they complete high school. But the point is, for every student who is entering high school, you need to spell out an objective, and you need to define and then take an academic curriculum that gets you toward that objective. It of course has an upside and a downside. The upside is, I think that's what education is all about. I think that planning and achieving academic goals is the essence of what we're trying to do. The downside probably is that, at least for some school district situations, this is going to require additional...or a change in the way counseling is done. But I guess that I think this is important enough that I'm willing to require that that change be made, if in fact it has to be made. You would hope that this would be something that would be well within the range of what schools now do, and I'm sure many do. In a few instances, it probably isn't, but I think it's very important. So I support this amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Raikes, if Senator Stuhr doesn't want the time back, your light is next. Senator Raikes waives his time. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I would love to see this happen. I guess my question, Senator Raikes, would be with respect to the costs. I mean, I assume we're going to get feedback on unfunded mandates on this. Do you have any idea, across the state or from place to place, what