

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 15, 2006 LB 1086

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...have a number of years between a first offense and perhaps a second offense. There might be many developments in the human experience of that individual that's going through the system between the first assessment and the second assessment. If we have kind of a quick-fire two convictions within a relatively close proximity of time, then obviously the previous assessment would probably suffice. What's envisioned in here is that an individual under a probation order would be required to receive a substance abuse and mental health evaluation. Once that's received, you go back to the court, or go back to your probation officer, and the treatment regimen that is recommended by the practitioner is then implemented via an amended order within your probation order. That's...

SENATOR CUDABACK: It's now your time, Senator.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: It's now your time.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...Senator Cudaback. Senator Beutler, I'll continue with your dialogue. What occurs, Senator Beutler, is, you submit yourself for a substance abuse and mental health evaluation, the results are received by the probation officer, the probation officer petitions the court for an amended order, so that whatever treatment regimens are recommended are then placed into the probation officer...or, to the probation order that oversees the offender.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That makes sense. One more question?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Sure.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Who pays for the assessment, and who pays for the treatment? And is it appropriate to put something into the bill indicating that the person being assessed should pay for the assessment?