

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 14, 2006 LB 605

ultimately where replacement or total renovation is the answer, and that's what we're in now.

SENATOR RAIKES: The university...okay. There is a 2 percent or some sort of a fund that, as I remember, was designated that when a building is constructed, there's a certain amount of money set aside that will be used for maintenance of that building. Does that...is that stream available, or what's the status of that stream of...

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Generally, when we did that, it was to set aside monies for depreciation, a 2 percent allowance. But if you will remember, about the time that we said, okay, for any new construction or extensively remodeled construction,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Raikes.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: ...we will set aside 2 percent.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: But you may continue, Senator Raikes. Your light is next. And this will be your third time.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you. Please continue.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: You want me to continue? We got to the point where, with the money on 309, we just simply didn't have enough money to carry out these particular projects.

SENATOR RAIKES: So none of the other funding streams are, in your view at least, adequate to address these sorts of maintenance issues. So your projection, am I correct, is that the Legislature might as well plan on continuing to appropriate an annual sum for the bonding of these kinds of projects? In other words, when daughter of LB 1100 comes to the end, we'll have daughter of daughter?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: I hope not, but I think you have to be realistic.