

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 14, 2006 LB 605

have donated money to a particular building, and that's still...if it's given to the state for the purpose of a state building, it's the state's property. It becomes part of the, in this case, the university's property. It may have somebody's name on it, but it came from contribution from that individual.

SENATOR RAIKES: So the state would be responsible, then, for the maintenance of a building like that, or if it needed a new roof, or it needed to have a major restoration? That would be the state's obligation?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Yes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: But the new building, it shouldn't need a new roof.

SENATOR RAIKES: Although at some point it may get old. I've experienced that myself.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: (Laugh) Oh, I have, too.

SENATOR RAIKES: So I guess...and I put my light on so I can continue the question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: I guess I will...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Further discussion? Senator Kopplin, followed by Senator Thompson and Senator Raikes. Senator Kopplin.

SENATOR KOPPLIN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I stand to support this bill, but I do want to make a few comments about it. I did have the opportunity to visit many buildings this past summer, and I guess I was surprised. I saw things such as eight-inch tiles which, if you're familiar with buildings, there are asbestos tiles yet in some of our public buildings. I saw some labs that were probably not as good as