## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 14, 2006 LB 1060

It's common statement, everybody kind of as a budget matter. agrees, that this is just intent language, intent language to tell the department how to do bidding process, which the Governor could tell them to do right now. So why do we need to muck up, or whatever the phrase would be, this particular budget bill in connection with this ... with this matter? It bothers me greatly. This is not the place that we should be debating this issue. This is not the...we should be talking about the budget This is not even affecting the budget. This is just affecting wording, which is intent language, which the department could currently do. I don't know that we want them to be doing the things in the manner that Senator Foley wants them to do because it's going to give less service to women than there are currently available to them...that currently is available to them. So I just think that this matter needs to be reconsidered and I would ask that you return it to the floor. I would yield the balance of my time to Senator Brown.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brown, about 2, 30.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Mr. President and members. For those of you who are interested in an expansion of services, the availability of services, I would just caution you that you might not be as enthusiastic if what you are offering to women is to...for them to be able to find out that they have something but very possibly not be able to have the treatment. Because if there is not the full range of services, if there is not the treatment options, those individuals are just going to be left with the...they can probably go to one of the facilities that do provide a full range of services if those facilities still get enough money to be able to serve those women, but you have sacrificed their being able to get services right away for the detection piece of it. And maybe that's the public policy that we wish to assume, but I don't think that that is. I think that there is a strong reason that the full range of services was included originally, and I think that if we wish to have greater availability, the answer is only about more money ...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROWN: ...and not about this language. This language