

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 14, 2006

LB 1060

Foley, but he's the one who brought this amendment here. And that last sentence ought to be stricken if the aim is to make this range of services, which currently are being funded, available wherever the department decides these services are needed. However, Senator Stuthman stated it more recently than anybody else so it might be more clearly fixed in everybody's mind. If you've got a pot of money and five hands are taking money from that pot, and there is enough for each one to do what the job is that requires being done, then without increasing the amount of money in the pot you had five more hands, either you're going to have ten hands, no one of which is able to do the job properly, or you're going to have what's called, in legal parlance, the race to the courthouse--who gets there first and who has the political pull. But something that makes it even worse under Senator Foley's amendment is the fact that these additional entities need not provide the full range of services. So the women in Senator Stuhr's district who are not being served now would still not be served the full range of services. That's not what Senator Foley's interested in. There are certain services he does not want to see women receive, and it's based on his religion's notions of things that are inappropriate for women to be involved in. That's what we're dealing with. This reconsideration motion ought to be successful. This entire matter needs to be rethought. But if it isn't reconsidered, the budget bill itself should not go anywhere. This matter must be dealt with. And now to digress and cover something Senator Foley stated this morning about the budget being mucked up. Who is the first one who offered something that has mucked up the budget, brought everything to a standstill? Who is the one who did that? The one who's making the allegation and the accusation to me, and I'm the one who has nothing pending on the bill. But I'll tell you this, as I've done in the past. If this execrable--some people say "EXe-crble", I say "EX-e-crable"--if this execrable provision remains in the budget bill, the budget bill is not worthy of being enacted into law.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It will be enacted into law, of course, but it's going to take a long time to get that done. And I will not