

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 14, 2006 LB 1060

SENATOR FOLEY: The intent language...the whole thing dies in 12 months.

SENATOR BROWN: It is not intent language. It's substantial language, because intent language only says where the money will go. It doesn't talk...intent language. And so the...what the language that is currently in...

SENATOR FOLEY: Well, I beg to differ, Senator. In the next biennium, the next Legislature will start out with a fresh budget package, a brand-new budget package, a clean package with no intent language, and then they'll add the language in at the committee level. You served on the Appropriations Committee. You know how this works.

SENATOR BROWN: Yes, I do. Yes, I do. Thank you, Senator Foley. If we are being legitimate about this, if we are being legitimate, the last line of this amendment, even if you accept that this is an acceptable procedure to go about, the last line of the amendment is...says everything, because it says that you're not going to provide all the services to women. That's what it says. And it doesn't say that...I mean, Senator Foley says that because it says, if it's necessary. But we've already established that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROWN: ...the \$500,000 is barely enough, barely enough to provide what's being provided now, and we're just going to further dilute it by the way that it's going to be distributed now. And there is absolutely no guarantee that somebody who is found to have a problem is going to have treatment, because the way that this could be divvied up does not allow for the full range of services. And I think that that is absolutely an inexcusable action on our part. I don't know that you say to somebody, we're going to find the money to find out what's wrong with you, and then we're going to say, oh, but we're sorry. I don't think that that should be the...our practice. And I believe that we should reconsider...