TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 14, 2006 LB 1060

would want to be treated and would have a full range of We're going to make a substantive change, if you services. don't reconsider this amendment, because of that last sentence, and now say to those same women, most of whom are poor, many of them who are minority--that's who these clinics serve--and say, well, now you have to go here for this, we've found this, you go there for that. You know, we work very, very hard as a Legislature over the years on making sure people have a healthcare home, that they have good healthcare service, because large number of their issues can be addressed. Just doing this so that...and disrupting a good program that's in place that serves poor women shouldn't be where we go. There's no reason why you can't leave that alone and add money in and let these other places provide the services. But I also think ... and this is the reason this went to the Health Committee, and it should have been considered as a separate bill and not part of the appropriations process. I also think that we need to have a serious discussion about how we provide those services and that money, and whether or not there's appropriate treatment provided by those and other services that can help those women when they come to these...present for these services. I'm sorry this got caught up in other politics, but I think it's important that we're discussing this issue. And I think it would be better to reconsider this motion and do what we do normally on an appropriations bill, and that is talk about the appropriate level of the appropriation. Now, that wasn't brought to us, and it appears to be a big, important concern. And I support those who are working on drafting an amendment to increase the money, and we've already heard Senator Foley say he's supportive of that. So let's expand the services, send this bill back through the process that it should go through--maybe it will be by somebody else next year in the Health and Human Services Committee--put it as a regular bill on the floor, and not tie up the appropriations process to deal with something like this, and trading order, and all the other things that were done to make it the first thing today, and kind of put us all in a really difficult situation, thinking we had some more time, because I just realized this was happening yesterday afternoon. think it's more appropriate to come as a bill on the floor, not as an appropriation. What we should think about, though, and which I think would be a reasonable compromise for ...