

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 14, 2006 LB 1060

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: One minute.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...they are really going to be...they're really going to come up short. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Thank you, Senator Schimek. You've heard the opening for reconsideration of AM2441. Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Mr. President, members. There are two issues that cause me to support this reconsideration. Senator Foley said that there was no direction given at the time that this...to Health and Human Services, at the time this language was adopted. Well, the language was intent language about what the money was to be used for, not about how it was to be used, because that's the way we do it in an appropriations bill, I believe. And I would like to ask Senator Pederson a question, if I might.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Pederson, would you yield?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: I will.

SENATOR BROWN: Do you see a difference in the existing language and what we're adding in new language in terms of substance?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Yes. I think...my big concern, quite frankly, is the fact that I'm not sure we're aware what we're doing here. This was a Health and Human Services proposal, and now it's being superimposed on a budget bill. But let me advise you that we cannot change substantive law in the appropriations bill. This is a money proposal. You can have intent language, but we can't make a new law substantively through the appropriations process. That concerns me greatly, if we really know what we're doing here.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Senator Pederson. The second issue that I would have, apart from the substantive law piece, which I think is very, very important, is that...is the last line of the amendment, which says, the department may contract with a provider, who provides some but not all of the services described in this section, if necessary to provide for