

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 14, 2006 LB 1060

about cancer, and I thought those were very important things to be treated, and nobody else was offering these services. And the actual services have been offered and been given by the people that oppose this particular proposal by Senator Foley, and I think that they have done a good job of providing services to these people, and I see absolutely no reason to be changing that proposal now. I know this has been the effort of Senator Foley, was to get all these things away from any family planning, but we need family planning in the state of Nebraska. We concern ourselves about abortion, but a lot of times the reason we end up with that as a problem is because we haven't had any planning that went into family situations. We haven't had people that have had the ability to prevent unwanted pregnancies. So I think it's all part of a pattern, and I just don't think it's appropriate to be in this particular bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Thank you, Senator Pederson. Senator Howard, followed by Senator Stuhr and Senator Jensen. Senator Howard.

SENATOR HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor and members of the body. I rise in support of Senator Brown's concern. I have been paying attention to the history and in addition to the current issues regarding women and children, and it certainly has been a hard-fought battle for me to procure any, both, assistance and funding for issues that are preventative and early intervention. Senator Brown has a history of working on this and certainly been a front-line supporter of services for women. I admire her for the work that she's done in the past, and I can only imagine how hard some of her battles have been with that. One of the things that has always concerned me is the fallacy of doing more with less, and that's been sold off, certainly, in Health and Human Services, and any time there's a shortage or an unwillingness to fund a program, we'll do more with less. And I can tell you that simply doesn't work. So to look at changing the programs that are now in place, expanding them but not funding additional programs or, in this case, making locations available is really a fallacy. I'm...I don't understand why there wasn't a request for additional monies, and maybe possibly there was. If we're going to look at additional locations, providing quality services, it certainly would appear