

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2006 LB 32

currently written, with the word "such" in line 6, is referring back to a director. But there is no singular form of the word used. So my amendment would first of all strike that word "of" in line 6, because it's not needed. Then I would strike the word "such" at the end of line 6 and replace it with the article "a." And I'd like to ask Senator Schrock a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock, have you been able to mark this where I have mentioned? I'm looking at the Final Reading copy.

SENATOR SCHROCK: I'm accessing a copy. I was not prepared for this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, then I will discuss it a little further and give you a chance to look at it. This does not hurt the bill in any way. It just makes the reference what it ought to be. If they had said, each board may provide a per diem for each director not to exceed, and then refer back to that director, that's one thing. But it's talking about directors, plural. Then when it makes a reference back, it refers back to a director, singular. And you'd have to see the language in the bill to follow what it is that I'm talking about. And Senator Schrock may have a copy of the bill now. Senator Schrock, I see you have the green copy, but in your copy, in line 6, does your line 6 begin with the word "directors"?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, then ours are not saying exactly the same thing.

SENATOR SCHROCK: All right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But what I'm going to do, Mr. President, while my time runs, ...how much time do I have left?