

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2006

LB 786

Chambers said two things, two words that represent recall in most cases: anger and hatred. And many times, that anger and hatred is on the behalf of, or represents one or two individuals, not a group of individuals dissatisfied with either policy or practice. So the anger and hatred of an individual can initiate the recall process. And as Senator Landis had said, it's dirty business, it's nasty business. Whether or not one is recalled or not, it is a practice that none of us in this body would like to go through. And in fact, perhaps that's the reason we're not able to be recalled. While...when this language was drafted, we were specifically carved out. And I guess I'm, right now, happy about that, because you know, any one of us could be taken out by recall if that were a practice that were to be allowed. The frivolous nature, many times, of recall, I think it just...the Greenwood, Nebraska example, where one individual, dissatisfied that an ordinance in his community was being...was directed that if your sidewalk isn't up to standard--and in fact, he had taken out a slab of concrete--that you have to put...make it...put it back the way it was. He was dissatisfied that he might have to put in part of a sidewalk, so initiated a recall process. That's frivolous. But the elected officials involved in that frivolous recall, it's not frivolous to them. It's hurtful. This bill was not a league bill, a League of Municipalities bill. This wasn't brought to me by them. I did this by myself, simply because there were instances that I've seen over the years that recall has been improperly used, particularly, and most notably to me, this Blair airport example, where an airport authority member tried to recall...or, was going to recall three of his fellow board members because they didn't agree with him. That's frivolous. However, in the minds of the people that don't follow elected officials' process and duty...as an example, an airport authority. I would venture to say that virtually all of us in here have not attended airport authority board meetings. No reason to; you trust the people that are elected. The only reason you go is if it directly affects you. So in a board like that that's...that has little oversight by the public to begin with, the announcement that there are three people being recalled is in fact big news, whether it's small city, big city. And there is a supposition by the public that there must be something going on, those dirty rascals, when in fact it's frivolous. And usually those