

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2006 LB 786

are three grounds now for recall, malfeasance, misfeasance, and nonfeasance, that will go to court to determine if the allegations that are claimed by the petitioners constitutes one of those three things. If the allegations constitute that, the petition process goes forward; if the allegations do not constitute one of those three things, the recall process is ended. Senator Mines, would that be a fair characterization of the amendment?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Mines, would you respond?

SENATOR MINES: Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, that's half of what could happen.

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay. And the other one is where they file a defense motion and...a defense...

SENATOR MINES: Statement.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...statement, it can go to the petition process.

SENATOR MINES: That's exactly right.

SENATOR LANDIS: In other words, the official can choose either to make the defense and go to the election, or can choose to go to court and argue that this...that what is complained of does not constitute one of these three things.

SENATOR MINES: Exactly.

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay. Recall is an ugly business. I think that we can all agree on. The history of it is filled with use that we would all, in some of the hypotheticals, in some of the examples that exist, be personal, vindictive, and filled with innuendo. By the way, there is no law that will bring innuendo to a halt. If we're thinking that we're going to be able to pass a law that will stop ill will, bad feelings, rumors and innuendo, or castigations of one's public...one's service as a public official, this bill isn't going to do it, and no bill will. That's going to be on the table. Why do people recall?