

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2006 LB 786

at the present time that probably has done the job. And of course, yeah, recall elections, I've seen them. We've had them in some of the counties here in the last few years around. There's been some sheriffs recalled in one of the counties, I think, in a county in western Nebraska, and a few things like that. But it's usually always worked quite well, and I just question, if someone can take it to district court and...whether we'll be loading up the courts more with more court cases than would probably be necessary. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Louden. Further discussion on the Chambers amendment to the committee amendments. Senator Schimek, followed by Senator Mines and others.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. First of all, Senator Chambers, I don't have a problem with your amendment. I think that's what the language says, because it refers to their performance of duties as a public official. And so I think in that sense, it does mean while they're in office. I think that if you think that clarifies it, then it's not a problem. Secondly, I think Senator Beutler and you have both raised some good questions about the election cycle and about other kinds of instances in which an official might need to be removed. Well, first of all, I know that if that official has committed a felony, he can't serve in public office any longer, so that would take care of egregious kinds of things that are committed that don't necessarily have to do with the duties of that elected official. And Senator Louden, I'd also like to say, in response to your statement, that I think that it's going to be...what we're doing here is trying to clarify and give definitions for what you can be removed for through recall. Now, I am aware that in primary class cities, which is simply Lincoln, that people can be removed for malfeasance or misfeasance as such officer, so I think there's already some theory for this, because they can be taken to court and they can be removed from office. So until this morning, I hadn't actually seen this language. Now, this language does not apply across the state, and I think it should apply. I think that there ought to be a way to remove people who do not perform their duties, or willfully and knowingly perhaps don't perform