

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2006 LB 454A

know, so I'll ask you a different question. The person need not demonstrate that he or she can see, in re-upping. Isn't that true?

SENATOR COMBS: Yes, that's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. The person doesn't have to demonstrate that since that first permit was granted he or she was not committed to a mental health facility by the state. That is not required to be addressed, is it?

SENATOR COMBS: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In other words, to shorten this kind of questioning, everything that the person had to meet in terms of a standard to get that first permit, that person never has to do again once that permit has been obtained, and all that's necessary to re-up is to continue paying the fee as it comes due every five years. Isn't that true?

SENATOR COMBS: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...what would have stopped a person from getting a permit in the first place would not stop the person from re-upping that permit subsequently, would it?

SENATOR COMBS: Not in statute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Combs. That's what Senator Flood, a purported lawyer, voted for. I know Senator Engel voted for it, Senator Redfield, and all these other people. I know that Senator Foley, Senator Friend, that's what they voted for. And those who voted for cloture knew that this bill would advance, so I think all those who voted for cloture also knew that these things would be allowed under the bill. But I'll have a chance to discuss those things during the upcoming session. But I intend to discuss it a bit more on this