TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2006 LB 57

breeding disrespect for the law. You're breeding disrespect for the law. You have to identify it the same for everybody. It's equality before the law. Then after they've responsibility for what they've done, then treatment, a lot more treatment. I've supported treatment almost up and down the line if I thought it made sense, and most of it does. But you can't mix up the issues. You can't mix up the issues. What about the husband of this woman who's pregnant? A young guy, maybe he's addicted, maybe he needs help, maybe he needs treatment. He probably does. But he gives his wife some cocaine, the child is damaged, he's thrown in jail. Should we be criminalizing him? Is the nature of his addiction somehow different from the nature of the pregnant woman's addiction? If you don't want to criminalize any of it, that's one position, but I don't think you want to do that, because society needs to declare that certain things are off-limits. And when you don't declare them to be off-limits, then you're implicitly saying it's all right to do this. And something that you're saying is off-limits for everybody else but the pregnant woman, you're saying the pregnant woman can do this. Senator Chambers says we're not dealing with the real persons who walk this earth. Well, what about these little coke crack babies who may not even be able to walk the earth? What about all of those beings? It's not an issue of prevention or treatment or whatever. It's an issue of equality before the law at the front end of the process, treating everybody the same and giving the message to everybody that taking illegal substances that can harm an unborn child is not something this society puts up with. We have seen the consequences of it in crack babies, in meth babies, and we need to declare it illegal. And if under this law you think it appropriate to extend the law to unborn children, then you should extend it uniformly to all who harm unborn children. Senator Foley talked about third-party people. Who are...who's the third...who are the first two parties? Mother and child? What about husband? I mean, usually...that...he's arbitrarily classing some people as first parties, second parties, and third parties, ...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...it's not characteristic under the law.