

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2006

LB 57

that even those who ordinarily manifest wisdom can be very far afield and downright wrong. A woman, if she chooses to when she's pregnant, can do anything she desires to totally rid herself of the embryo or fetus, and that is not against the law. The greatest thing, and I'm using that word for comparison purposes--greater and lesser is what I want to use--if the greater thing can be done without penalty, certainly the lesser thing can be done without penalty. If it is not illegal to do the greater, it cannot be made legal to do the lesser, rationally. This is a bill which I think is atrocious, anyway. What Senator Beutler is asking us to do is, in my opinion, outlandish. Here you have a woman who is pregnant and maybe she's going to go ahead and carry the pregnancy to term and deliver a child. But she may suffer morning sickness, she may suffer depression, she may feel abandoned if she has been left alone, deserted, and now there's going to be a criminalization of conduct on her part which may be engaged in to help her make it through the night or through the day. Many people take drugs and drink alcohol for the buzz or to get high or to release them from their inhibitions. Other people seek the use of drugs and alcohol as a refuge. So this woman, who is burdened anyway, is to now be criminalized, and I think that is a crime in and of itself. There is much discussion on this floor surrounding the pretended concern for the pregnant woman, yet everything being done is to separate the fetus from the woman. The fetus, the embryo, the zygote is an organic part of the woman which cannot exist independently of the woman. The woman is the point of reference, and the woman is the one our attention should be focused on, not to criminalize her, not to make her burdens even heavier. Because men play such a small, relatively inconsequential part in the production of a child, we don't worry about laws to criminalize whatever men will do. This amendment is wrong. It's wrong in its intent. It would be wrong in its application. And if the primary reason for asking that it be adopted is to ensure that there will be prosecutions under...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the bill, that is the weakest argument that could be offered. And I'm disappointed that such an