

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2006

LB 542

place, the effects that they'll have, the changes on the revenue adequacy and stability, which corresponds to language you have in these lines, 25 and 27. So would you agree to striking these two...these three lines on page 2?

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And let me mention the only two other amendments that I have, so it won't take a lot of time. You did say that on page 2, in line 21, you would agree to the striking of the word "nominal," and just leave "tax rates" that will be compared? We'll be comparing tax rates, and we don't put the word "nominal," which could lead to some confusion or imprecision.

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And my final one will be, again, on page 2. It would make the language in line 22 consistent with the line...the language in line 20, where we got rid of the word...the term "nearby states" and substituted "states having demographics similar to those of Nebraska." We had adopted it earlier. And when I became aware of the fact that we had a similar construction a few lines down, I'm offering to make that language the same as what we adopted earlier. Those are the remaining two amendments, after this one, so that you'll know what it is. And when those two have been adopted, as I think they should, then we can talk briefly on whether or not the bill ought to move.

SENATOR BURLING: I can support those.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Thank you. Mr. President, that's all I would have to say on this amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the closing on FA542. The question before the body is, shall FA542 be adopted to LB 542? All in favor vote aye; opposed, nay. The question before the body is the Chambers amendment, FA542. Have you all voted on the question who care to? Record please, Mr. Clerk.