

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2006

LB 542

and 22 because you think this commission would not, on its own, be aware that when they're undertaking this tax study, that they should study the rates? You think you have to tell them that? Here's what I'm getting at. If I tell you that I'm going to buy an automobile, or ask you to go buy an automobile for me, I give you the money, do I have to say, now, Senator Burling, when you buy this automobile, make sure that it has a steering wheel, it has at least four wheels, it has a gas tank, it has a motor, it has an exhaust system, and in the motor there's a distributor cap, a battery, and some other things? Do I have to say all of that? Or does the word "car" or "automobile" embrace all of the things which, when taken together, produce an automobile?

SENATOR BURLING: No, "automobile" would be sufficient. And if we took out lines 21 and 22, the commission would still examine rates. But I put that in there so that the body would know my intent, as the introducer of the bill,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BURLING: ...that it would intend for them to examine every aspect of our tax code. But they'll examine it. Even if we take it out, they'll still examine it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, if, in line 21, we at least would strike the word "nominal" and just leave "Examine tax rates as compared" and then the rest of the language left alone,...

SENATOR BURLING: That's fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that would be okay?

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now let's go back to the amendment that I have before us, which is to strike lines 25 and 27 on page 2. What do you feel about that amendment?

SENATOR BURLING: Well, here again, they'll do that without having it being told in the law, but I put it down so that the Legislature would understand my intent as the introducer. But