

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2006

LB 542

compared. As the philosophers say, between things disPARate, and others say DISparate, in nature there can be no comparison. The common parlance expresses it comparing apples to oranges. Nearby states would not be sufficient guidance. The term "contiguous" might be too restrictive and confining. But the purpose of the amendment is to generate some discussion so that we don't just run a piece of legislation through here and enact it into law. We ought to be concerned about what we're talking about. I don't even think we need this commission, maybe some do, but those who do think it's necessary should be concerned about the language. Is there too much here in the way of giving direction? If there is not, then the directions given ought to at least be clear. I'd like to ask Senator Flood a question. Senator Flood, if you're...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...approaching a mechanical or electrical traffic control device and it consists of lights, there is a blinking red light, what are you required to do under the law when you approach such a signal?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Flood.

SENATOR FLOOD: Stop at the appropriate place before entering the intersection.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And do you merely stop, or do you stop in order to do something?

SENATOR FLOOD: I stop and I yield to the traffic that's entering the intersection freely.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's going across, across your path.

SENATOR FLOOD: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now if the traffic going across your path is fronted by a yellow light, I always call it an orange light, but a yellow light, what is that traffic required to do?