

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2006

LB 542

overstate the amount and it would be a setoff against what I'd have to pay taxes on in this other lucrative enterprise that I have. They cut that out because they saw the business people quickly caught on to it and were exploiting it. Those people were raiders of the public till, but they were not violating the law. The people that I'm talking about, as I have defined and described them, are individuals who have not committed a crime. They are not thieves. They are not embezzlers. They are not robbers. They are raiders. They get more from the state than the state would have to pay if the state were attentive. They get more from the state for a product than they would get selling the product on the open market. They are raiding the public till by taking more from that till than that to which their product entitles them. But they have not stolen. Now that Senator Stuthman is back, I'd like to ask him a question or two during my closing.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuthman, would you respond?

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuthman, do you think these sharp entrepreneurs that you and I have been discussing, who have not violated a law and who are not criminals, should be prohibited from being considered for appointment to this board that we're talking about?

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Senator Chambers, I don't think they should be prohibited. The only thing that I have a problem with is the way this amendment is worded, as perception is, is that these raiders are the ones that are causing the problem, and I think it's not that way.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think there are raiders of the public till?

SENATOR STUTHMAN: I honestly think there aren't.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You don't think there are any raiders of the public till?