TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 7, 2006 LB 248

and it will change dramatically in...against their benefit. And I think that we really should look at revisiting the issue and dealing with it when we have new money. Now that has not dealt specifically with the issue of the Bourne amendment. My concern with the issue of the Bourne amendment, and I'm sympathetic to the Bourne amendment, because I think that it is very difficult for communities, for cities to be able to respond to the...to this kind of a change rapidly enough to adjust their budget. And I don't disagree with some of the statements that have been made about that this money should be used for the purposes of roads, but maybe not...maybe more broadly the purposes of roads, instead of such a narrow construction approach. But I think that it would be much fairer to the cities that have used these monies for general fund purposes, to give...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROWN: ...them a little bit more time to be able to accommodate it, and I don't think that we have provided for them the time to do that. A \$9 million hit to a city's budget is pretty significant, and I think that there may be...I think that some other communities may figure out that this language is pretty narrow, by the time that they start to try to live within the parameters of this bill. And it will be too quick for them to be able to adjust their spending activities. I don't believe that the city of Omaha has been not using the money for the purpose of roads. It's just that they've been using it in a more broad fashion than the definitions that are contained in this bill. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brown. Further discussion? Senator Howard.

SENATOR HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the body. It's my understanding that in Omaha we have a mayor, we have a city council, we have individuals who have been elected to make determinations regarding the needs of the local community. I've been concerned over the past year and a half that I've been down here that on occasion individuals overstep what they actually have the knowledge of making a decision on. I suggest in this case that this decision is better made by