

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 2, 2006

LB 856

sheep, Dall's," spelled D-a-l-l-'s, "Dall's sheep, alpacas, llamas, pot-bellied pigs, and small mammals." Do you see that language? And then we're excepting out domesticated cats and dogs.

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I see the language.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And then when you get to line 23, it says, "The term also includes birds and poultry." Before I get into the other, why would it say "birds and poultry" when we have "poultry" defined as birds? If the definition of "poultry" includes birds, why, in line 23, do we say "birds and poultry"? Are they talking about birds that are not poultry, do you think?

SENATOR KREMER: Probably. There are birds that would not be included as poultry. But then, you could interchange a chicken as a bird or poultry. So there is a difference in the definition, but I...I'm not sure that it's always defined correctly all the way here. And I can't answer the question why it's like that in here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then probably, between the two definitions of "poultry" that will exist in the law if this bill is passed, we ought to get rid of the one that defines "poultry" as domesticated birds, and keep the one that says "poultry" means domesticated fowl, because the word "fowl" does refer to these birds that people tend to eat.

SENATOR KREMER: Um-hum.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So maybe all fowl are birds, but not all birds are fowl. Would you agree?

SENATOR KREMER: All birds are fowl, but...?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, all fowl are birds.

SENATOR KREMER: Are birds. I would say that's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But not all birds are fowl. For example, I don't think a bald eagle would be considered fowl, would it?