

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 2, 2006

LB 856

I'll just let the body decide if they think it's better language or not, and I probably will just not vote, because I...to me, I understand what "uncaptured" means, but "at large" might be more appropriate. I'm not sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Kremer, do you use "ain't"?

SENATOR KREMER: I try not to, unless it's to make a point of something.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you know what "ain't" means. So all...just knowing what it means doesn't mean it's suitable.

SENATOR KREMER: I ain't going to (inaudible)...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you might know what "uncaptured" means. Suppose I'm a real stickler for language, and I say, by Jove, "uncaptured"? (Inaudible)

SENATOR KREMER: Senator Chambers, can I make a comment here?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Huh?

SENATOR KREMER: Can I make a point...comment here?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Certainly.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay. I remember you brought us a bill,...I was thinking that your job after you get out of here, you should work for Bill Drafters, because you do a great job in that area. But I remembered a bill, and I had a little fun with you, that you brought to us about dogs, and you referred to a dog as a "contagious dog." And I think "contagious" means that you could be...it could be caught by somebody else, so I thought, is that a dog that cannot be caught, then, when you talk about a contagious dog? So that would be kind of a wild at-large dog, when it's contagious. So that's beside the point.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't remember that.

SENATOR KREMER: Don't you remember? I do.