

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 2, 2006

LB 856

animals." And I will see how that sits with Senator Kremer, so I will ask him a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kremer, would you respond?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes. Yes, I will answer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Kremer, have you had a chance to look this over?

SENATOR KREMER: You know, I guess I've looked at it, but I can't tell you the difference in that, other than, I'm not sure if "uncaptured" is defined somewhere else, and whether...I don't know if there's any difference or not. To me, it's the same. I guess I don't have any opposition to it, but whether I'll support or not (inaudible).

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, maybe this will help you, Senator Kremer. What's the difference between "ain't" and "isn't"?

SENATOR KREMER: One is a correct English word and the other is not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't know if we should say "correct." We should say one is accepted and the other is not. But dictionaries don't tell you what's correct; they tell you the usage that is popular. So these two words can each or both express the same idea. Isn't that true?

SENATOR KREMER: I...to me, it does.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, so "uncaptured" might be all right in the parlance of people on the street corner discussing something, but in statute, I think there should be a type of language which is more suitable, and I'm offering "at large" rather than "uncaptured" because I think the term "at large" is more suitable for legislation. So the question that I have to ask you is this. Are you opposed to this substitution of language that I'm offering?

SENATOR KREMER: I would say that I'm not opposed to it, and