

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 2, 2006

LB 856

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Chambers, you're recognized to open on FA527.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment that probably has the whole lobby shaking. This could be a very dangerous amendment, so I even want to have Senator Loudon and Senator Synowiecki pay attention. This would take place on page 7, in line 11, is where my amendatory language would occur. But so that you'll see the context, I'm going to read the entire sentence: Compliance with Sections of 6 to 9 of this act does not relieve a person from the requirements of various other sections. I would strike the words "from the requirements" and I would insert the words "of the requirement to comply with the provisions." And here is how it would read with my amendment: "Compliance with sections 6 to 9 of this act does not relieve a person of the requirement to comply with the provisions." This language, it's not really a dangerous amendment, that's just to make people pay attention. It's like crying wolf. But it doesn't matter what I cry around here. If people are going to listen, they'll listen; if they're not, they won't. But this, I think, is clearer language, it is more appropriate when it comes to drafting legislation, so that's why I'm submitting it. Rather than say "does not relieve a person from the requirements" of certain sections, my language says "does not relieve a person of the requirement to comply with the provisions" of these particular specified sections. I'd like to ask Senator Kremer a question.

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Kremer, have you had a chance to look at this language and see what it does?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I've looked at it, and it's fine with me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. That's all I will say, then. And