

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 2, 2006 LB 856

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I would say that's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now I'm going to read that, then I'm going to read what I'm offering.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: They have "which have"...we're talking about these...this poultry, poultry "which have been retested with two consecutive official negative tests." See how this sits with you: "poultry which have been subjected to retesting which produced two consecutive negative results." Which of those two do you like better?

SENATOR KREMER: I think yours is probably superior, but I think we should leave the word "official" in there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you would want to say "two consecutive"...where would you want to put the word "official"?

SENATOR KREMER: Okay, "consecutive official"...it's right before "negative" before. No, that's "negative results" then. So I don't know, but I think we should have "official" in there somewhere.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, suppose we said "subjected to official retesting which produced two consecutive negative results"? Because the idea is that the testing should be official, or done by the department or whoever is doing this. So have you got the amendment on your gadget, so that you can see the wording of it?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I have a copy of it here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now, what I would do, based on what you said, is to put the word "official" before the word "retesting." Then we would say "subjected to official retesting which produced two consecutive negative results."

SENATOR KREMER: I would think that would be an improvement.