## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 1, 2006 LB 478

talked about?

SENATOR BROWN: Yes

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I believe that all of these companies, these contractors which currently are in Nebraska, will stay in Nebraska, and the failure to grant...

SENATOR BROWN: That wasn't the...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...this exemption will not cause them to leave here.

SENATOR BROWN: That wasn't the question. Will the same number of jobs be created in Nebraska, multistate companies that could create jobs anywhere? The kind of jobs that we're talking about are not necessarily specific to a location. Do you believe that all the jobs would be created otherwise?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You are asking me to say with specificity what the people who run these companies can't say. I read where one of those who has brought a company here had acknowledged that he doesn't know how much they're going to expand, but they probably will. So I can't say with specificity what jobs are going to be retained, which ones will be subtracted

SENATOR BROWN: What about individuals who have no connection to the state of Nebraska, other than having lived here for a short period of time, possibly before they retire? Do you think that the availability in other states of an exclusion of part of their retirement income would have a bearing on whether they choose to remain in the state of Nebraska?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Only if they're going to leave Nebraska anyway. A lot of the people that have been referenced who've left Nebraska and have been born here join the military as a way to get out of Nebraska. Then when they retire, they simply executed their plan. But granting this exemption is not going to keep people here who would otherwise leave. That is my view. If they were going to leave, they're going to leave anyway.