

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 1, 2006

LB 478

is not necessarily a bill for military or for retired military, specifically. It is a bill for economic growth. We are not trying to discriminate against different types of retired military. As everyone in this body knows, I fully support the military and the original bill that I brought was a full exemption over a period of time, for all retired military in the state. And I still support that concept, and I have that bill in front of committee again. This bill was...the idea for this bill was germinated in that original full exemption. When the people came down from the economic sector and from private business and from the military and testified in front of Revenue that they have a slot that needs filling, jobs that need filling, again, this is an area that is different than anything else in the state, where most of the time we as a state are trying to grow our business sector and create jobs. We have the jobs available; we do not have the personnel available. That is the thing that you have to keep in mind. We're not incentivizing business. We are trying to bring qualified people to the area to fill jobs. I would not stand here and argue this is a benefit for the military. What I am saying, though, is if we fill these jobs and we continue to grow the private sector in this area, we will support our base, which is long-term goal for the whole state, because of the economic impact the base has on the state. Senator Chambers brought up the fact that...or brought up the question, what does this do for people in Alliance? What does this do for people outside the metro area? Any revenue generated in the state helps the state as a whole. It increases our tax base, which increases our services. Again, I just want to make sure the body is very clear on this. There is no way I can support Senator Chambers' amendment in this regard. We have established the fact that this is not an exemption based on your retirement from the military, but more on your qualifications that you have. Oh, one other thing. Senator Chambers brought up that I said not many people had the...or were able to have these, and I doubted anyone in the body did. I was not referring to the security clearance; I was referring to the technological skills that the people retiring from the military in these fields have. They are trained in the area of science and computers that is not available to the average citizen, and that is why they are able to fill these jobs. The security clearance is just a component of that