

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 28, 2006 LB 75

Chambers will have the opportunity to x out in statute cities of the metropolitan class and preclude them from benefiting in any statutory provision. But if you're going to make distinctions among political subdivisions on a broad policy statement where, from a policy point of view, from a broad, conceptual policy point of view it should apply to all, then the constitution should contain that broad, solid policy statement and let the Legislature in its individual dealings with political subdivisions distinguish, if distinguishes...if distinguishing is justified, on the facts of the case. If Senator Chambers can argue to you next year that cities of the metropolitan class should be statutorily excluded, he is certainly able to do that. And my guess would be that if Omaha doesn't have anything at that point that it would benefit from it, he might be successful in doing that, and you'll have to wait till later years to change the statute. But at least the bad policy would be taken out of the constitution. It's bad policy. It's costing everybody money. It's leaving money on the table. It's giving money to brokerage houses and investors rather than the people who are supposed to be benefiting from this money--the low-income and those who can't afford healthcare. Why would we want to do that?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: It's just bad policy. And wherever the situation arises in the state, new and better laws should apply to it. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Further discussion? Senator Bourne, followed by Senator Landis.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Would Senator Chambers yield again to a question or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Yes, I will.

SENATOR BOURNE: Senator Chambers, when you were talking earlier, you had mentioned there was some sort of a one-stop